



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,843	02/07/2001	Tamas Lukacsovich	2000-1561A	2951	
7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack Suite 800			SHUKLA	SHUKLA, RAM R	
2033 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20006			1632		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/700,843	LUKACSOVICH ET AL	<del>_</del> .			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Ram R. Shukla	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS			
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicat	to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortened and the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 June 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Mathematical theorem (a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) $\square$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s): <u>103 rejection of claims</u>	<u>10-14</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	ımendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ıd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		,				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 4.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None.</u>						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
0. Other:						
	RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.I PRIMARY EXAMINER	<b>D.</b> Ram R. Shukla, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632	•			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 8 and 12 raises new 112 2nd pragraph issues. Additionally, proposed amendment to claim 10 raises new issue that would require further consideration and/ or search since the proposed amendment removes active screening assay.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While applicants' arguments that there was surprising and unexpected results are persuasive to obviate the rejection of the method claims, the arguments are not persuasive to obviate the rejection of vector claims.

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D.